

BioHeritage Challenge KPIs

Performance Area	Summary	Target
	Whakamana (empower): New Zealanders value our	Demonstrate increase in community programmes directly related to the
	biological heritage and are enabled to actively contribute	Challenge that are socialising the value of New Zealand's biological
1 Impact	to positive change.	Starting baseline = average across all investments to 30 June 2019.
	Tiaki (protect): New Zealand's biosecurity system is fit for	At least two new (or substantial improvements to) control, surveillance
	purpose.	or eradication tools/technologies, are being applied at the border
		and/or at a landscape scale. Barriers to adoption have been mitigated for
		at least one new technology.
		Annual progress will be reported in annual report narrative.
	Whakahou (restore): Technical, policy and governance	Frameworks that take into account whole system interdependencies
	frameworks are designed to ensure our ecosystems are	(social, cultural and/or environmental) are being use by policymakers to
	resilient to current and future threats.	improve the health and wellbeing of people and the environment.
		One framework by 2021; one more by 2023.
		Progress in intervening years reported in annual report narrative.
[BioHeritage	Partnership with Māori: Māori partners are themselves	At least one new 'partnership' case study has been provided by Māori
specific KPIs]	championing the added value of working in a more	partners each year, that demonstrates additionality and better outcomes
	collaborative and inclusive science environment.	from partnership at governance and/or management and/or
	[Linked to performance Area 5]	science/research levels of the Challenge.
		To take the form of written or other media e.g. video, kapa haka.
	Leadership and direction: New Zealand researchers	Demonstrate an increase in 'active' alignment of research investments
	· ·	_
	(including Challenge Parties) and stakeholders (including	and/or in-kind contributions focused on Strategic Outcome areas and
	industry, private sector, government agencies, and Māori	maintain or grow the current level of Challenge input into strategic
	entities) are actively focusing their own strategic priorities	directions of key stakeholders.
	on NZ's biological heritage, and have become more united	Baseline set in 2020; repeat analyses in 2021 and 2023.
	in making strategic, long-term, impact-oriented progress	Starting baseline: Six Challenge Parties and/or stakeholders and/or
	in sustaining and restoring NZ's biological heritage.	research entities (e.g. B3; CoREs, Genomics Aotearoa) agree to alter the
	[Linked to performance Areas 4 and 6]	direction of their research investments, or assign personnel and/or
		capability, to one or more BioHeritage SOs.
1	Impact case studies demonstrating that Challenge	4 impact case studies annually for NSCs that receive between \$30m and
Impact	outputs are valued by stakeholders and are being used (or	\$50m in phase two.
[Common KPI]	have the potential) to deliver benefit for NZ.	
	The number of Challenge publications accepted by the	MBIE to set baseline after further advice from evaluation team.
	top 25% of journals worldwide, as ranked by field-	(bibliographic analysis undertaken by MBIE of published research papers in the
-	normalised impact factor.	reporting year)
	The citation score of publications , normalised by field,	MBIE to set baseline after further advice from evaluation team.
	publication type, and publication year	(bibliographic analysis undertaken by MBIE of published research papers in the
2	publication type, and publication year	reporting year).
Science Excellence	The number of Challenge publications ranked in the	MBIE to set baseline after further advice from evaluation team.
[Common KPI]	year's top 10%.	(bibliographic analysis undertaken by MBIE of published research papers in the
		reporting year)
	Science excellence is independently monitored through	Evidence that the ISAP annually reviews part of the research
	Challenge ISAPs (or equivalent).	portfolio , and at least biennially provides the a report that comments on
	5	science strategy and assurance of science excellence.
	The proportion of publications produced as the result of	Annual targets: >70% of publications with co-authors from more than 1
3	domestic, or domestic and international, collaboration.	NZ-based institution and/or iwi and/or community group; >25% with
Best Research		international co-authors (bibliographic analysis undertaken by MBIE)
Team	Effectiveness of team and estimate leadership skill	
Collaboration	Effectiveness of team , e.g. science leadership, skill	Biennial survey conducted by MBIE . Positive trend continued from
[Common KPI]	expertise mix, collaborations with Māori, stakeholders and	baseline established in phase one. (survey conducted by MBIE).
- •	end-users	
_	Satisfaction among stakeholders with their involvement in	Biennial survey conducted by MBIE. Positive trend continued from
	research priority setting and co-design and co-delivery	baseline established in phase one. (survey conducted by MBIE).
4		
4 Stakeholder	of research projects	
4 Stakeholder Engagement		Biennial survey conducted by MBIE. Positive trend continued from
	of research projects	Biennial survey conducted by MBIE. Positive trend continued from baseline established in phase one. (survey conducted by MBIE)
Engagement	of research projects Satisfaction among stakeholders with knowledge	
Engagement	of research projects Satisfaction among stakeholders with knowledge exchange and technology development and access to	

	influence on, engagement with, and value received from	baseline established in phase one. (survey conducted by MBIE)
5	the Challenge).	buseline established in phase one. (survey conducted by (vibic)
Vision	Maori in positions of leadership within the Challenge	Annually equal or exceed average FTE of Māori in positions of
Mātauranga	(e.g. governance and management, programme leadership.	leadership from Tranche 1 (to 30 June 2019)
[Common KPI]	Challenge funding invested in Māori-led or Kaupapa	Maintain or increase the proportion of the total Challenge budget
	Māori research.	invested in Māori-led or Kaupapa Māori research each year from
		baseline set from average in Tranche 1
6	Qualitative assessment* of governance effectiveness and	Annual survey conducted by Challenges (at least one external
Governance and	management including structure, processes, and	assessment before 2022). MBIE satisfaction with results of governance
Management	relationships with Challenge host, and advisory groups (if	assessment.
[Common KPI]	in operation). *Self- or external assessment.	
7	Targeted outreach activities transferring information to	Communications strategy developed/revisited by June 2020.
Public Awareness	the public.	
and Engagement		
[Common KPI]		